Challenges on the Path to Exascale

Turbulent Flow Simulation at the Exascale: Opportunities and Challenges Workshop, Washington, D.C.

Jeffrey A. F. Hittinger
Center for Applied Scientific Computing

August 4, 2015

LLNL-PRES-675882
This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Why Exascale Computing will be slightly less disruptive than the comet that killed the dinosaurs

Turbulent Flow Simulation at the Exascale: Opportunities and Challenges Workshop, Washington, D.C.

Jeffrey A. F. Hittinger
Center for Applied Scientific Computing

August 4, 2015



LLNL-PRES-675882
This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Things one can see in museums…

By Luke Jones from Yucca Valley (Stegosaur and Allosaur) CC BY 2.0

We lack the computing power to tackle Grand Challenge Science problems



Combustion

- High-pressure, turbulent reacting flow
- Complex moving geometry
- Multiphase: fuel injection and soot
- Stochasticity
- Optimal engine design



Climate

- Coupling atmosphere, oceans, ice sheets, land mass, biosphere
- Global to microscopic
- Catastrophic rare events
- Extreme weather patterns
- Assessments for policy



Materials

- Transient mesoscale behavior of new materials
- Search for novel, optimal materials
- Model from nanometers to microns, femtoseconds to minutes

Need (at least) exascale computing resources

What is an exascale-class machine?

	ASCI Red	Road Runner	K Computer	Sequoia		*Exascale*
Year	2000	2008	2011	2012		*2023*
Peak (Flops)	1.3e12	1.7e15	11.3e15	20.1e15		*1.2e18*
Linpack (Flops)	1.0e12	1.0e15	10.5e15	16.3e15		*1.0e18*
Total Cores	9,298	130,464	705,024	1,572,864		*1e9*
Processors	9,298	12,960(6,912)	88,128	98,304		*1e6*
Cores/Proc	1	9(2)	8	16		*1e3*
Power (MW)	0.85	2.35	9.89	7.9		*~20*

Adapted from B. Harrod, "DOE Exascale Computing Initiative Update," Aug 15, 2012

Power has become the dominant constraint

Based on current technology, scaling today's systems to an exaflop level would consume more than a gigawatt of power, roughly the output of Hoover Dam

– 2012 ASCAC Report "The Opportunities and Challenges of Exascale Computing"

Hoover Dam at Night, Tex Roy Bean, CC BY-SA 3.0

Power is also driving architecture changes

- *Power densities limit clock speeds*
- *More cores and specialized accelerators*
- *Data motion costs on-chip and off-chip*
- *Volatile memory (DRAM) is power-hungry*



Original data collected and plotted by M. Horowitz, F. Labonte, O. Shacham, K. Olukotun, L. Hammond and C. Batten.
Dotted line extrapolations by C. Moore. From C. Moore, "Data Processing in Exascale-Class Computer Systems," Salishan, 2014

Future Node Architectures will have many cores and deep memory hierarchies



Based on slide from J. Shalf

Exascale computing introduces several fundamental challenges



Extreme Concurrency

- Processing units ↑
- Bulk-synchronous will not scale
- Concurrency ↑
- Synchronization ↓
- Communication ↓
- Dynamic task parallelism



Limited Memory

- Memory gains less than processing
- Memory/core ↓
- Minimize memory usage
- Deeper , heterogeneous memory hierarchies

Data Locality

- Transfer gains less than processing
- Bandwidth/core ↓
- Energy and time penalties for data motion
- Greater need for data locality
- Reduce data transfers

Resilience

- Massive number of components: hard faults ↑
- Running closer to threshold voltage: soft faults ↑
- Bulk-synchronous checkpoint restart is dead

Hardware improvements are not enough

$$O(N^p)$$

Machine improvements tend to improve base or coefficient

Model and algorithm improvements can improve exponent

Will Algorithms for Exascale be…

Mick Tsikas, Reuters

OR

DOE ASCR chartered an Exascale Applied Mathematics Working Group

Charge

Identify:

- gaps in thinking about exascale
- new algorithmic approaches
- new scientific questions
- a more holistic approach

Team

Jack Dongarra*	Jeff Hittinger*
John Bell	Paul Hovland
Luis Chacon	Esmond Ng
Rob Falgout	Clayton Webster
Mike Heroux	Stefan Wild

*co-chairs

Process

- Community Workshop (Aug 2013)
- Fact-finding teleconferences
- Grand Challenge reports



An organizing principle we used was the concept of the *Mathematics Stack*



Areas outside of this conceptual organization:

- Optimization and optimal control for system management
- Discrete mathematics and graph analysis
- Finite state machines and discrete event simulation

Mathematical Modeling: Uncertainty quantification plays a larger role at exascale

We must be clever in combating the curse of dimensionality

Performance Increase 3D FEM Nonlinear Diffusion

Phipps, Edwards, Hu, Webster, Equinox project, ASCR XUQ



- Adaptive hierarchical methods
- Advanced multilevel methods
  - Model hierarchies
  - Stochastic hierarchies
- Architecture-aware UQ
- Adaptive and robust methods for fusing computation and experimental data

Mathematical Modeling: Exascale will enable the solution of new optimization problems

Branch and Bound Tree for MIPDECO

- MIPDECOs generate huge search trees
- Each node is PDE-constrained optimization



[Leyffer & Mahajan]

- Concurrent-point methods
- Mixed-integer, simulation-based, and global optimization
- Multi-fidelity hierarchies
- Robust optimization and optimization under UQ
- Optimal design and coupling of experiments

Mathematical Modeling: In forward simulation, we must consider new models

- **Can we model additional physics?**
- **How else can we model the problem?**
- **Do some models expose more concurrency?**
- **Scale-bridging models**
  - Hierarchical representations
  - Coarse-graining
- **Particle vs. continuum**



We must respect the physics!

Discretization: High-order, partitioning, and adaptivity will play important roles

- **High-order discretizations**
  - High arithmetic intensity
  - Maximize on-node performance
  - Robustness? BCs?
- **Partitioned algorithms**
  - Models, equations, and operators
  - Spatial (FSI)
  - Temporal (multimethod)
- **Need better coupling strategies**
  - High-order
  - Splittings based on strength of coupling
  - Compatible interface treatments
  - Nonlinearly converged strategies
- **Adaptivity in mesh, model, discretization and order**
- **Scalable computational geometry and mesh generation**





Kolev et al.



Hornung et al.

Discretization / Solvers: Overcome sequential bottleneck of time integration

- **Parallel-in-time**
  - More concurrency, not faster clock speeds
  - Hierarchy of representations of varying fidelity
  - Iterative time advancement
  - Compressible Navier-Stokes:
    - Vortex shedding problem
    - 8x speedup at 4K cores
    - Crossover at 80 cores
- **Research issues:**
  - Optimal convergence
  - Chaotic systems
  - Oscillatory systems
  - Hyperbolic systems





J. Schroder et al., XBRAID project

Scalable Solvers: In solving the discrete system, numerous topics must be addressed

- Communication-avoiding
- Synchronization reduction
- Data compression
- Mixed-precision
- Randomization and sampling
- Adaptive load balancing
- Scheduling and memory management
- Autotuning algorithms
- Energy-efficient algorithms



Example: Timing comparison on 100x100x100 7-point Laplacian stencil [E. Chow and A. Patel]

Data Analysis: Understanding the results



- Compute power increasing faster than I/O
- Data movement is too expensive

- Feature-Aware in situ transformations
- Memory and compute-efficient

Resilience and Correctness: Trusting the results in the presence of faults

- **Resilient programming models**
  - Skeptical
  - Relaxed bulk synchronous
  - Local failure, local recovery
  - Selective reliability
- **Algorithm-Based Fault Tolerance**
  - Protect from *silent data corruption*
  - Use properties of models and algorithms to detect (good) or be insensitive (better) to faults
  - Understanding how random faults alter solutions / convergence



Data from M. Heroux, M. Hoemmen, K. Teranishi

What is the right approach for stochastic or chaotic models?

Resilience and Correctness: Dynamic adaptation impairs determinism

- Reproducibility and verification techniques rely on determinism
- Can we justify cost of enforcing determinism?
- Should we interpret reproducibility and verification statistically?
- Analysis to understand the variability of deterministic algorithms

Evolutionary or revolutionary? A Punctuated Equilibrium perspective for HPC evolution



Punctuated Equilibrium: **Long periods of slow change disrupted by short periods of rapid change**

Based on M. McCoy & R. Neely, Thoughts on HPC at LLNL (the next decade..)

Transitions may be rapid, but continuity with the past is maintained

Math is the DNA of computing that provides the common thread for (r)evolution

Some approaches may become extinct

Some approaches will adjust and continue

Some disfavored approaches will gain importance

Some dominant approaches will lose importance

Some new approaches will be created

Structure of DNA, CC0 1.0

We will not discard the 400+ year legacy of the scientific revolution and begin anew in only a decade

It's the end of the world as we know it… and I feel fine

It's an opportunity to solve challenging problems



Don Davis, http://www.donaldedavis.com/BIGPUB/BIGIMPCT.jpg, CC0

What will emerge?

Wolpertinger, Rainer Zenz, CC BY-SA 3.0

Exascale computing will allow us to compute in ways that are not feasible today

It will result in significant scientific breakthroughs

Transition poses numerous scientific and technological challenges

Success will require close interdisciplinary collaboration

Advances in applied mathematics will be essential

Many additional resources are available

Exascale Mathematics Report

http://science.energy.gov/ascr/news-and-resources/program-documents



Exascale Mathematics Working Group Website

- White Papers
- Workshop presentations
- Background information

https://collab.mcs.anl.gov/display/examath/Exascale+Mathematics+Home

DOE Grand Challenge Science Reports







http://science.energy.gov/ascr/news-and-resources/workshops-and-conferences/grand-challenges

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory