# Adaptive approximations of computationally intensive models for uncertainty propagation and inference Patrick R. Conrad and Youssef M. Marzouk

Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

### **Adaptivity**

#### Introduction

- **Practical problems in uncertainty propagation,** sensitivity analysis, and inference require using computationally expensive forward models, e.g.,  $f(\mathbf{x})$
- ▶ Standard algorithms require an intractable number of evaluations
- **I** Constructing surrogate  $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x})$  can lower the overall cost of the analysis

**Polynomial chaos expansions** (PCEs) are used to approximate functions of known random variables:

### Part 1: Forward uncertainty propagation

**IN Pseudospectral approximations use quadrature to** compute the integral

$$
\tilde{f}(x) = \sum f_i \Psi_i(x)
$$

- $\blacktriangleright \Psi$  are orthonormal polynomials,  $f_i$  are Fourier coefficients
- $\blacktriangleright$  Customizable to various domains and measures
- $\blacktriangleright$  Extends to higher dimensions via tensor products
- Adaptive Smolyak algorithms allow a flexible and practical construction
- I Well-suited to uncertainty propagation

 $\blacktriangleright$  Choose truncation and quadrature so that every  $\psi_i^2$  $\frac{2}{i}$  is integrated correctly

- **INCO Smolyak approach builds sparse tensor product** algorithms from one-dimensional algorithms; good for problems with weak input coupling
- I Let
- $\blacktriangleright$  Smolyak algorithms are more efficient only if the index set is suited to the problem at hand
- Add indices incrementally, based on empirical local error indicator

Pseudospectral approximation in one dimension

 $\blacktriangleright$  We can analytically compute coefficients:

where  $\Delta^1_{{\bf k}_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\Delta_{{\bf k}_d}$  is a PCE and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is a  $L_2$  norm over the input space

 $\blacktriangleright$  Halt adaptation with a global error indicator

$$
f(x) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \psi_i^1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle f(x), \psi_i^1(x) \rangle \psi_i^1(x)
$$

 $\triangleright$  A fabricated example in an exponential growth Gaussian quadrature setting

$$
\mathcal{S}_m^{(1)}(f)=\sum_{i=0}^{q^{(1)}(m)}\mathcal{Q}_m^{(1)}(f\psi_i^{(1)})\psi_i^{(1)}(x)
$$

#### Smolyak algorithms

 $\blacktriangleright$  The global error indicator is typically well-correlated with L 2 error, except for non-smooth functions

$$
\Delta_0^{(i)} = L_0^{(i)} = 0
$$
  

$$
\Delta_n^{(i)} = L_n^{(i)} - L_{n-1}^{(i)}
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Form the telescoping sum

 $\blacktriangleright$  Model computes the ignition time of a methane/air mixture, based on 14 uncertain input rate parameters I Testing adaptive and non-adaptive strategies with different growth rules

$$
L^{(i)} = \sum_{k_i=0}^{\infty} \Delta_k^{(i)}
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Smolyak's algorithm is

$$
\mathcal{A}(m,d,\vec{L}):=\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{K}}\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_d}^{(d)}
$$

 $\triangleright$  or:

$$
\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K},d,\vec{L})=\sum_{\bm{k}\in\mathcal{K}}c_{\bm{k}}L^1_{\bm{k}_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes L^d_{\bm{k}_d}
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  When performing inference, it is difficult to efficiently choose distributions for input parameters to build a PCE

I This example shows how the samples are largely drawn from regions of low posterior mass  $\blacktriangleright$  Instead, we aim to build an approximation that is

$$
\epsilon({\mathbf k}):= \|\Delta_{{\mathbf k}_1}^1\otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_{{\mathbf k}_d}^d\|_2
$$

I Samples might be allocated as shown, drawn with density similar to the posterior density Inter These samples may be used to construct local linear or quadratic approximations



▶ On each iteration, when Markov chain Monte Carlo needs to evaluate the approximate forward model, construct/update the approximation as follows

$$
\epsilon_g := \sum \epsilon_l(\mathbf{k})
$$

### Example of adaptivity

### $\blacktriangleright$  Infer six parameters of a kinetic model simulating a genetic toggle switch

- - −0.06
- - Estimated relative covariance error
	-
- 
- 
- $\blacktriangleright$  Adaptive polynomial approximations are well-suited to
- -





- $\blacktriangleright$  Uncertainty quantification tasks can be made tractable with surrogates
- uncertainty propagation
- 
- $\blacktriangleright$  Novel surrogates can exploit the structure of inference problems
	-

### Termination critera





### Combustion model example



Approximate L

2 Error

 $10^{-1}$ 

 $10<sup>0</sup>$ 

 $10^{1}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

# Part 2: Inference and inverse problems

### Adaptive local approximations

 $10^{1}$ 

 $10<sup>0</sup>$ 

 $10^{-5}$ 

 $10^{-4}$ 

 $10^{-3}$ 

 $10^{-2}$ 

Prior contours

# **Conclusions**





## Algorithm outline







### Genetic toggle switch



### **In Test performance by performing inference repeatedly,** with or without approximations

