

Linear, nonlinear, and eigenvalue solvers are at the heart of many scientific applications. FASTMath is developing and deploying state-of-the-art solver and time integration technologies that lead to significant performance improvements in application codes and enable scientific discoveries at scale

ParaDiS: Parallel Dislocation Dynamics

A. Arsenlis, S. Aubry, G. Hommes, C. Woodward (LLNL); D. Gardner, D. Reynolds (SMU)

ParaDiS is a massively PARAllel Dislocation Simulator. It models strain hardening by simulating the collective motion and interactions of dislocations. Each dislocation line defect is discretized into segments ended by nodes where forces, velocities, positions, and topological operations are calculated. In particular, positions are obtained by timeintegrating the velocities of dislocation nodes. A limiting factor to computational efficiency within ParaDiS is the need to integrate stiff systems in time with expensive force calculations. *We are working to incorporate faster solvers and higher* order time integrators.





Simulations start with a small number of segments. Dislocation segments multiply under increasing loading, eventually colliding, joining, and separating. Problem configurations grow quickly and change rapidly during a run.

We accelerate the native fixed point solver with Andersen Acceleration (AA) implemented in the SUNDIALS suite of nonlinear solvers and time integrators.

Initialize $x^{(0)}$ For $k = 0, 1, ..., until ||x^{(k)} - G(x^{(k)})|| < \tau$ Set $x^{(k+1)} = G(x^{(k)})$

Set $m^{(k)} = \min\{m, k\}$ Set $F^{(k)} = (f^{(k-m^{(k)})}, \dots, f^{(k)})$, where $f^{(i)} = G(x^{(i)}) - x^{(i)}$ Solve $\min_{\alpha} \left\| F^{(k)} \alpha \right\|_2 s.t. \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = 1$ Set $x^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m^{(k)}} \alpha_i^{(k)} G(x^{(k-m^{(k)}+i)})$

We are testing higher order implicit Runge-Kutta integrators through the ARKODE package in SUNDIALS.

Frank-Read source: Single segment curling on itself; dislocations collide and merge

Method	# Steps	Time (s)	SpeedUp		
Original (2)	6,284	1,118			
Original (3)	4,990	895	20%	1.0e-08	
AA (2 , m=1)	6,447	1,177	-5%	ଞ୍ଚି କୁମ୍ବ 1.0e-09	
AA (3 , m=2)	2,359	436	61%		
ARKODE 3	257	74	93%		
ARK3(3,m=2)	273	61	95%	1.0e-11 ARKODE 3	
ARKODE 5	280	92	92%	1.0e-12 0.0e+00 2.0e-06 4.0e-06 6.0e-06 8.0e-06 1.0e-05	

Tantalum single crystal BCC, 4.25 mm³ cube; constant strain of 1,000/s along x-dir.; ~56K nodes at start and ~135K at end; 2.4 msec on 512 cores of LLNL cab mach.



On this more complex test, the accelerated fixed point solver shows definite gains even with a single saved residual. The new integrators provide substantially larger time steps, but each step now requires multiple nonlinear solves.

These results use a finite difference Newton-Krylov nonlinear solver and no preconditioning for the stage solves. Continuing work is in tuning integrator parameters and developing an analytic Jacobian and an effective preconditioner.

Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing

Solutions with new solvers gives similar propertion as seen with the stress Plastic strain curve he	the ve ties, he bere. 3.0e+08 2.5e+08 2.0e+08 1.5e+08 1.0e+08 0.0e+00 0.0e+00	Tantalum 512 Processor, Stress	Plastic Strain					
Method	# Steps	Run Time (s)	SpeedUp					
Original	17,800	6,030						
AA (2its, m=1)	17,126	5,550	8%					
AA (3its, m=2)	12,432	4,678	22%					
ARKODE ord. 3	3,819	12,644	-110%					
ARKODE ord. 5	3,716	20,231	-235%					
Dralinainauri vasulta								

Solutions with new solvers gi similar propert as seen with t stress Plastic strain curve he	the ve ve ties, he be ere. 3.0e+08 2.5e+08 1.5e+08 1.5e+08 1.0e+08 0.0e+00 0.0e+00	1.0e-04 2.0e-04 3.0e-04 4.0e-04 5.0e-04 Stress	ARKODE 3 AA-FP(3) TRAP-orig 6.0e-04 7.0e-04 8.0e-04 9.0e-0				
Method	# Steps	Run Time (s)	SpeedUp				
Original	17,800	6,030					
AA (2its, m=1)	17,126	5,550	8%				
AA (3its, m=2)	12,432	4,678	22%				
ARKODE ord. 3	3,819	12,644	-110%				
ARKODE ord. 5	3,716	20,231	-235%				
Preliminary results							

Impact of FASTMath Solver Technologies on Scientific Applications









PISCEES Ice Sheet Modeling

S. Price (LANL); M. Adams, D. Martin, E.G. Ng (LBNL); S. Cornford (Bristol), I. Kalashnikova, M. Perego, A. Salinger, R. Tuminaro (SNL); P. Worley (ORNL)

- The Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets are expected to be dominant contributors to 21st-century sea-level rise, and could affect other parts of the climate system through increased freshwater discharge to high-latitude oceans.
- **PISCEES** (Predicting Ice Sheet and Climate Evolution at Extreme Scales) is a BER/ ASCR SciDAC Application Partnership focusing on developing the next generation of ice sheet models (see other PISCEES-related posters in this session).
- Development of 2 ice-sheet dynamical cores:
- **BISICLES**: a finite-volume block-structured AMR dycore built on the FASTMathsupported Chombo framework,
- **FELIX**: a finite-element unstructured-mesh dycore which uses the FASTMathsupported Trilinos libraries.
- velocity field, making PISCEES a natural FASTMath solver customer.

BISICLES:

• Parallel, scalable, block-adaptive mesh refinement resolves the grounding line (Ice/Land/Ocean interface).



- Block-structured AMR results in
- Native Chombo GMG solvers stall on many realistic problems due to large variations in coefficients.
- Collaboration with FASTMath's Mark Adams enabled coupling to PETSc and access to robust and efficient GAMG solvers.
- Time-to-solution reduced by 1 order of magnitude.

FELIX:

- FASTMath Trilinos solver libraries enabled development of parallel and robust implicit 3D unstructured-grid finite element PDE code born with advanced analysis tools, all in 1 FTE time, including verification.
- 2km Greenland grid (182M dofs), steady-state solve requires less than 1 minute on 9,600 cores thanks to:
- Scalable linear solver with algebraic multigrid preconditioner (Trilinos: Belos, ML)
- Robust nonlinear solver with homotopy continuation (Trilinos: NOX, LOCA).





• Largest computational expense: Solving a coupled nonlinear elliptic system for the ice



Main computational kernel in MFDn is the solution of the standard eigenvalue problem. Extremely large size of the matrices, both in terms of matrix dimensions and number of nonzero matrix elements, creates challenges in achieving efficiency and scalability.







Berkeley





BRITISH COLUMBIA