

# JGI Data Services at NERSC

Alicia Clum & Georg Rath 2019-07-19

### What is the Joint Genome Institute?



#### • A U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility

- Walnut Creek, CA facility opened in 1999
- ~280 staff
- ~\$70M annual funding
- Services used by 1,598 DOE affiliated researchers in 2017

#### • An Experimental and Observational Data Facility

- DNA sequencing and other advanced genomic technologies
- Computational Analysis
- 75 Million core hours in 2018

### **Facility Software**



- User-Facing Web Portals and APIs "Science Gateways"
  - Complex applications
  - Integrate with compute and storage infrastructure (eg scheduler, parallel FS)
  - Need supporting infrastructure (eg databases, virtual machines)

#### Workflow Managers

- Used for internal management of workflows
- Automatically rerun job steps and perform job "packing"

#### Instrument support

- Drives instruments
- Pre- and post-processing of data

#### **The Mendel Years**



#### Mendel is a meta-commodity-cluster containing

- PDSF
- Matgen
- Genepool and Denovo
- As well as service nodes
  - interactive
  - web
  - database
  - and everything that did not fit elsewhere
- Not part of Cori maintenance schedule
- Mendel will retire on July 26th

#### A New World Order



- One batch system to rule them all
  - Cori only compute system at NERSC
  - Usual HPC machine lifecycle
- Migration to replacement infrastructure
  - Compute: Denovo to Cori
  - Storage: GPFS/local disk to Lustre/GPFS/NFS/DVS/DataWarp
  - Services: Spin (Container CaaS), VMware (VM IaaS)
  - Interactive: Login Nodes

#### **JAMO - JGI Archive and Metadata Organizer**



- JGI data management middleware
- Takes care of data movement
- Stores Metadata
- Interfaces with all NERSC storage systems



#### **JAMO - Architecture**



#### **JAMO Overview** HPSS **NERSC File** (NERSC High-Systems **KBase User Command** Production performance Archive) Web UI (DnA, Projectb, Line Tools Pipelines Cloud SeqFS) User interfaces to JAMO **RESTful API** Future work JAMO backend tools Authentication Layer Webserver (executed on the Verification **Genpool Cluster**) Validation Metadata Source MongoDB md5 Queue restore JAMO info **File Manager** tar (MySQL database) **JAMO** fetch purge **JAMO link** Task copy Subscriptions API POST validate Message queue and Pop task state service archive

#### **JAMO - JGI Archive and Metadata Organizer**



Collaboration between infrastructure group and developers

#### Migrated to virtual machines

- effort to port to container paradigm prohibitive
- footprint of database servers too big
- Move services off parallel filesystems where possible
  - database corruption
  - general instability
- Access to filesystem via DTN agent
- Effort: several months

#### **JAWS - JGI Analysis Workflow System**



- Workflow middleware
- Abstraction between compute resources and user
  - Accepts workflow definition language (WDL) workflows
  - Enables efficient workflows
  - Packs many small tasks into bigger jobs ("pilot jobs")
  - Enables sharing of analysis pipelines

#### **JAWS - Architecture**





10

#### **JAWS - Deployment**



- Deployed largely in Spin
- Access to scratch filesystem through cori20
- Access to scheduler through cori20
- Uses provided RabbitMQ service
- Needs to submit jobs as user

#### **Experiences - Spin**



- Learning curve is medium to high
- Documentation is extensive, consultants very responsive
- Required three day training session to get access is excessive
- No UI
- Off-the-shelf containers sometimes do not work
  - security restrictions
- Debuggability lacking
  - hard to access logs
- No resource monitoring (cpu, memory, network, disk)
- No APIs accessible
- Not possible to integrate with CI/CD systems
- Still quite a few humans in the loop
- Scheduler access needs workarounds
- Filesystem access\* needs workarounds

#### **Experiences - Virtual Machines**



- No learning curve
- Not an official service
- Human in the loop
  - create/start/stop/snapshot machines requires ticket
  - installing software requires ticket
- No resource monitoring (cpu, memory, network, disk)
- No APIs accessible
- Scheduler access needs workarounds
- Filesystem access\* needs workarounds

#### **Organizational Impact**



- Integration effort is non-trivial
  - Development of APIs necessary
- Adaptation of existing services to new infrastructure has substantial impact
- Stable\* infrastructure key to high scientific output
- Reliability matters

#### **Organizational Impact - cont.**



 10 percent of JGI institutional milestones this year were around migration or application readiness

How much time did you spend migrating pipelines and/or services or exploring alternative software if your code wasn't able to run on Cori?

14 responses



#### **Recommendations/Wish List**



- Let users control their infrastructure
  - self-service, no humans in the loop
  - provide a flexible substrate
- Let users see their infrastructure
  - telemetry and logs accessible to users
- Provide APIs everywhere
  - as close to "industry standard" as possible
  - make integration easier (scheduler, data movement, VMs,...)
  - integration does not end at the NERSC border
- Provide PaaS offerings (eg databases, message queues, etc)
- Explore filesystems with scalable access mechanisms (eg object stores)
- Consistent experience across the organization
  - provide the same semantics everywhere (eg filesystems)



## **Questions?**