High accuracy and effective models for strongly correlated electron systems

Hitesh J. Changlani, Huihuo Zheng, and Lucas K. Wagner Dept. of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign lkwagner@illinois.edu



High accuracy for real materials

A part of this SciDAC project is to evaluate new technologies for higher accuracy quantum simulations. This part is focused on using quantum Monte Carlo techniques on realistic models of materials to obtain predictive accuracy. The fundamental theory of condensed matter is the Schödinger equation for nuclei and electrons:

$$\hat{H}\Psi_s(r_1, r_2, \ldots) = E_s\Psi_s(r_1, r_2, \ldots)$$

where

by minimizing the expectation value of \hat{H} with respect to a parameterization. Since we use Monte Carlo to evaluate the necessary integrals, we have a lot of flexibility in the functional form. The second technique is diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC), which simulates the equation

$$-\frac{d\Psi}{dt} = \hat{H}\Psi$$

This PDE has the stochastically.

Deriving effective models from first principles

Since we have an accurate method for calculating properties we'd like to use it to go from a big Hilbert space to a small Hilbert space, integrating out 'boring' things like short-range electron-electron correlations.

space is then

The Hamiltonians



Hilbert space). We do this using reduced density matrices. We will demonstrate this using a benzene ring, mapping from the continuum Hilbert space onto a 6-site lattice model. The lattice sites are the π orbitals, shown here. The Hamiltonian in the small

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \nabla_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} + \sum_{\alpha, i} \frac{Z_\alpha}{r_{i\alpha}},$$

nucleus-nucleus interactions. Our ob- ing a trial function (from the above jective is to tackle this equation as di- VMC method) to approximate the valrectly as possible using Monte Carlo ues of the nodes, or zeros of the wave techniques to deal with the high di- function. mensionality of Ψ . There are two It turns out that using relatively simple main techniques we use. The first is trial nodal surfaces, very accurate rea variational technique (VMC), which sults can be obtained for traditionally approximates the lowest eigenfunction difficult systems.

ground state (actually any eigenstate) as its steady state distribution. This technique, if implemented exactly, has where for brevity we've excluded the a sign problem, which we cure by us-





Holes in the superconducting cuprates

We'd like to map from a contin-

 $\hat{H}_s = C + \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_l c_k$

uum Hamiltonian (large Hilbert space) if we limit ourselves to two-body interto discrete lattice Hamiltonian (small actions.

A better method

We would prefer not to solve for exact eigenvectors, since it's hard! In the small Hilbert space, no matter the state, the expectation value of the energy is given by the expectation value of the creation/destruction operators (one- and two-body density matrix elements).

$$\tilde{E}_s \equiv \langle H \rangle_s = C + \sum_{ij} t_{ij} \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j \rangle + \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_l c_k \rangle$$

This is true for **any** quantum state in the small Hilbert space. So we can fit to *ab-initio* data by evaluating the energy expectation value E_i and density matrix elements $\langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j \rangle_i$ and $\langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_l c_k \rangle_i$ for a collection of non-eigenvalue states.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{E}_1 \\ \tilde{E}_2 \\ \dots \\ \tilde{E}_M \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j \rangle_1 & \dots & \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_l c_k \rangle_1 & \dots \\ 1 & \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j \rangle_2 & \dots & \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_l c_k \rangle_2 & \dots \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j \rangle_M & \dots & \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_l c_k \rangle_M & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ t_{ij} \\ \dots \\ V_{ijkl} \\ \dots \end{pmatrix}$$



Charge density



The lowest energy is a spin-polaron that appears to have zero gap-a unique structure. We have obtained the metal-insulator transition in the cuprates for the first time, provided an explanation for optical signals seen around 1eV upon doping, and provided a prediction for small peaks in X-ray and neutron experiments that have not previously been considered. More details in Ref [3]

The procedure is:

- Evaluate \tilde{E}_i , $\langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j \rangle_i$, and $\langle c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_l c_k \rangle_i$ in *ab-initio* QMC
- Find the best fit by least-squares. These are the model parameters.

Comparison to experiment



The resulting model can be solved via exact diagonalization to get excited state energies. The excitation energies are in excellent agreement with experimental ones.

Application to an extended system





Implementation and possible improvements

Since the method is based on Monte Carlo, it scales very well, up to 1,000,000 threads on Mira at ALCF. The technique's main disadvantage is the computational cost. While the scaling is good at $\mathcal{O}(N_e^3)$, where N_e is the number of electrons, the prefactor is about 1,000 times larger than standard density functional theory calculations. The main costs are the following:

- One-particle orbitals (3D function evaluation)
- Updating the determinant (Matrix-vector multiplication)
- Electron-electron minimum image distances
- Evaluating Jastrow correlation factors (sums of many terms)

References

[1] Burkatzki, M., C. Filippi, and M. Dolg. J. Chem. Phys. **126** 234105 (2007), *ibid* **129** 164115 (2008)

- [2] H.J. Changlani, H. Zheng and LKW arXiv:1504.03704 (submitted)
- [3] L.K. Wagner arXiv:1505.08091 (submitted)

We can perform the same procedure on graphene. The value of U^*/t is in good agreement with recent constrained-RPA values which reproduce the band structure.

Implementation details

The results presented here were produced using QWalk, an open-source package available at http://qwalk.org. Pseudopotentials were from BFD[1], and trial wave functions were taken from GAMESS (for molecules) or CRYSTAL (for graphene).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank: DOE FG02-12ER46875 DOE INCITE SuperMatSim (mira) Many people for discussions and suggestions.