Challenges and New Approaches To Protecting Systems Based Infrastructures

Morgan Hennessey, DVM, MPH
Assistant Program Director
Department of Homeland Security, Science and Technology
Summit
10 - March - 2010

NATIONAL CENTER FOR
FOOD PROTECTION AND DEFENSE
A HOMELAND SECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

Food Continuum Paradigm

- Food **Security**: Supply *sufficiency:* access to nutritionally adequate/safe food
- Food **Safety**: System *reliability*: reducing exposure to natural hazards/errors/failures
- Food **Defense**: System *resiliency*: reducing the potential for or impact of system attacks
- Food **Quality:** Supply *desirability*
- Food **Protection**: Safety & Defense continuum

Food System Protection

NATIONAL CENTER FOR
FOOD PROTECTION AND DEFENSE
A HOMELAND SECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

Current Foodborne Illness Reality



United States

- 76 million cases
- 5,000 deaths

EU

- 45.5 million cases

Global

- 1 billion cases
- 2 + million deaths

Australia

- 5.4 million cases
- 120 deaths

Courtesy of WHO, FAO and CDC

NATIONAL CENTER FOR FOOD PROTECTION AND DEFENSE
A HOMELAND SECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

Pew Commission Report: March 2010

- Foodborne Illness costs $152 billion/year:

HEALTH-RELATED COSTS
FROM FOODBORNE ILLNESS IN THE UNITED STATES

PRODUCE SAFETY PROJECT

by Robert L. Scharff[1]
For the Produce Safety Project at Georgetown University[2]

Foodborne illness is a serious public-health problem in the United States. In 1999, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated that approximately 76 million new cases of food-related illness (resulting in 5,000 deaths and 325,000 hospitalizations) occur in the United States each year [1]. More recent data on sporadic illnesses and outbreaks suggests that this problem is not going away [2, 3].

from foodborne illness in the United States [7]. This approach is an improvement over past studies because it takes into account illnesses from all pathogens identified by Mead et. al. (1999); includes measures for health losses that are not included in many past studies; and presents uncertainty using confidence intervals and a sensitivity analysis. The methodology follows principles used by economists at the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and

Multi-institutional Approach to Leadership: Research/Education Themes

National Center for Food Protection and Defense
A Homeland Security Center of Excellence

Diverse Industry and Association Collaboration

NATIONAL CENTER FOR
FOOD PROTECTION AND DEFENSE
A HOMELAND SECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

PRIMARY PRODUCTION ➤ HARVEST ➤ TRANSPORTATION ➤ STORAGE ➤ PROCESSING ➤ DISTRIBUTION ➤ RETAIL/FOOD SERVICE ➤ CONSUMER

Collaborating Across Agencies

Canadian Food Inspection Agency
Agence canadienne d'inspection des aliments

FDA U.S. Food and Drug Administration

CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY AND APPLIED NUTRITION
OFFICE OF REGULATORY AFFAIRS

CDC Department of Health and Human Services
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

aphis.usda.gov

USDA ARS Agricultural Research Service
the in-house research arm of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

QuickTime™ and a decompressor are needed to see this picture.

QuickTime™ and a decompressor are needed to see this picture.

CSREES Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service

USDA United States Department of Agriculture
Food Safety and Inspection Service

ERS ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE
United States Department of Agriculture
The Economics of Food, Farming, Natural Resources, and Rural America

Sandia National Laboratories

Los Alamos NATIONAL LABORATORY EST. 1943

ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Managed by UT Battelle for the Department of Energy

State / Local Agencies

NATIONAL CENTER FOR FOOD PROTECTION AND DEFENSE
A HOMELAND SECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

Global Supply Chain Complexity



NATIONAL CENTER FOR
FOOD PROTECTION AND DEFENSE
A HOMELAND SECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

PRIMARY PRODUCTION ➤ HARVEST ➤ TRANSPORTATION ➤ STORAGE ➤ PROCESSING ➤ DISTRIBUTION ➤ RETAIL/FOOD SERVICE ➤ CONSUMER

Global Supply Chain Complexity

bleached wheat flour
malted barley flour
thiamine
riboflavin
Niacin
folic acid
reduced iron
Water
corn syrup
sesame seeds
soybean oil
Yeast
Salt
calcium sulfate
calcium carbonate
calcium silicate
soy flour
baking soda
wheat gluten
calcium propionate
enzyme
mono- and diglycerides
diacetyl tartaric acid esters
ethanol
sorbitol
polysorbate 20
potassium propionate
sodium stearoyl lactylate
corn starch
ammonium chloride
ammonium sulfate
calcium peroxide
ascorbic acid
azodicarbonamide

Milk
milkfat
Water
cream
sodium citrate
salt
sodium phosphate
sorbic acid
artificial color

cheese culture
acetic acid
soy lecithin
Enzymes
starch

Cucumbers
water
Vinegar
Salt
calcium chloride
Alum
natural flavorings
polysorbate 80
turmeric

USDA inspected beef

Soybean oil
pickles
distilled vinegar
water
egg yolks
HF corn syrup
sugar
onion powder
corn syrup
spice
spice extractives
salt
xanthan gum
mustard flour
prop. glycol alginate
sodium benzoate
potassium sorbate
mustard bran
garlic powder
hydrolyzed proteins
caramel color
paprika
Turmeric
calcium disodium EDTA

lettuce

dehydrated onions

Grill Seasoning
Salt
Pepper
cottonseed oil
soybean oil

NATIONAL CENTER FOR
FOOD PROTECTION AND DEFENSE
A HOMELAND SECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

PRIMARY PRODUCTION ➤ HARVEST ➤ TRANSPORTATION ➤ STORAGE ➤ PROCESSING ➤ DISTRIBUTION ➤ RETAIL/FOOD SERVICE ➤ CONSUMER


This chart illustrates some of the many paths a peanut product produced by the Peanut Corporation of America (PCA) might have taken before reaching the consumer. From the time a product left PCA it could pass through multiple points, sometimes being processed into new, widely distributed food products for consumers and pets. Actual distribution patterns may be more extensive and complex than what is illustrated here.
The length of the distribution chain, the number of finished products the peanut material is incorporated into, and the breadth of the distribution chain are all factors contributing to the length of time it takes to conduct a recall.
PCA shipped peanut products to hundreds of establishments, including numerous distributors and manufacturers. As of February 9, 2009, over 1,800 products have been recalled and more than 250 brands affected.
Peanut Granules
Peanut Meal
Dry Roasted Peanuts
Oil Roasted Peanuts
PCA
Peanut Butter
Peanut Paste
Regional Bakery
National Food Chain
Ice Cream Manufacturer and Distributor
Distributor
Food Manufacturer
Bakery
Ingredient Distribution Center
Pet Food Manufacturer
STORE
Ice Cream Shop
Caterers
Chain Restaurants
Independent Restaurants
Vending Machine Company
Vending Machine
Hospital Food Service Operations
Nursing Homes
Restaurants
School Cafeterias
The circled numbers (①,②,③,④, etc.) represent products that were made using at least one ingredient originating from PCA's peanut processing facility in Blakely, Georgia.
FDA
PRIMARY PRODUCTION ➤ HARVEST ➤ TRANSPORTATION ➤ STORAGE ➤ PROCESSING ➤ DISTRIBUTION ➤ RETAIL/FOOD SERVICE ➤ CONSUMER

Panel Members

- Ryan Newkirk: University of Minnesota, National Center for Food Protection and Defense
- Harry Gardiner: Manager, Counter-Terrorism and Emergency Mitigation Office of Emergency Management, Canadian Food Inspection Agency
- Paul Williams: Director, Food Agriculture and Veterinary Defense, Georgia Office of Hoemland Security

NCFPD Vision

Defending the **safety** of the **food system** through **research** and **education**

NATIONAL CENTER FOR
FOOD PROTECTION AND DEFENSE
A HOMELAND SECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE